Children and Youn	g People Overview an	d Scrutiny Panel -	Performance	Index 2022/2	13
Cilliul Ell allu Touli	g reopie overview an	iu Jululily Fallel -	renonmance	IIIUEN ZUZZ/Z	

Please note that Year to date performance - unless otherwise stated indicates April - March

Pi	ease note that Year to date performance - unless otherwis	se stated indicate	s April - March	l Bo	nchmarking and tre																	
				Ве	nenmarking and tre	nu -																
N	lo. Performance Indicators	Frequency	Target 2022/23	Merton 2022/23 PROVISIONAL	England	London	BRAG rating	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Jul-22	Aug-22	Sep-22	Oct-22	Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23
A	ssessments																					
	Number of Early Help Assessments undertaken by the Authority	Monthly	Not a target measure	165	No benchmarking available	No benchmarking available	Not a target measure	6	8	15	11	14	12	13	21	19	12	19	10	17	22	12
	% of Single Assessments authorised within the statutory 45 days	Monthly	91%	89.7%	84.5% (DfE 2022)	86% (DfE 2022)	Green	91%	94%	92%	97%	94%	94%	94%	93%	89%	82%	90%	96%	97%	99%	91%
	% of Education, Health and Care (EHCP) Plans issued within statutory 20 week timescale (YTD Calendar Year /Monthly)	YTD/Monthly	65%	81% (2022 calendar year)	50.7% (DfE: SEN2 Jan 2023 for the 2022 calendar year)	54.7% (DfE: SEN2 Jan 2023 for the 2022 calendar year)	Amber	81% 67%	76% 67%	75% 67%	71% 60%	77% 95%	77% 82%	79% 92%	80% 92%	81% 100%	100% 100%	79% 69%	69% 56%	70% 74%	63% 41%	63%
Cl	nild protection																					
	4 Child Protection Plans rate per 10,000	Monthly	Not a target measure	34.8	42.1 (DfE 2022)	37.5 (DfE 2022)	Not a target measure	21.2	24.1	23.3	21.6	24.7	25.3	26.4	25.3	26.6	27.0	31.6	34.8	35.4	33.5	35.2
	Number of children subject of a Child Protection Plan - as at end of month	Monthly	Not a target measure	166	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure	101	115	111	103	118	121	126	121	126	129	151	166	169	160	168
	% of quorate attendance at child protection conferences	Quarterly	95%	N/A	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	N/A			N/A			N/A			N/A			N/A			
	% of reviews completed within timescale for Children with Child Protection Plans	Monthly	95%	97.6%	89.3% (DfE 2022)	92.6% (DfE 2022)	Green	98%	94%	95%	96%	97%	98%	98%	98%	94%	91%	95%	96%	92%	88%	97%
	% of Children subject of a CP Plan who had a CP visit within timescales in the month	Monthly	90%	96%	No relevant benchmarking available	87% (Q4 2022/23)	Red	94%	86%	90%	91%	88%	95%	90%	93%	91%	94%	87%	93%	90%	88%	86%
7 7	% of Children that became the subject of a Child Protection Plan for the second or subsequent time during the month (within 2 years)	Monthly	<20%	NEW indicator			Green													17%	0%	6%
9	% of Children that became the subject of a Child Protection Plan for the second or subsequent time (Ever)	Monthly	<20%	25.3%	23.3% (DfE 2022)	18.4% (DfE 2022)	Red	14%	19%	24%	24%	25%	24%	25%	25%	24%	28%	26%	25%	26%	22%	23%
Lo	ooked After Children																					
:	Looked After Children rate per 10,000	Monthly	Not a target measure	25.6	69.8 (DfE 2021/22)	52.5 (DfE 2021/22)	Not a target measure	26.4	26.2	25.1	25.6	25.8	25.8	25.3	26.2	25.3	25.8	26.0	25.6	26.0	26.2	26.8
:	Number of Looked After Children as at end of month	Monthly	Not a target measure	122	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure	126	125	120	122	123	123	121	125	121	123	124	122	124	125	128
:	Number of UASC children and young people	Monthly	48 (Agreed Quota)	25	No benchmarking available	No benchmarking available	Below Quota	19	19	19	20	19	23	22	22	23	22	24	25	26	26	28
:	Average number of weeks taken to complete Care proceedings against a national target of 26 weeks	Quarterly	26 weeks	53 (CAFCASS Avg 2022/23)	46 (CAFCASS Avg 2022/23)	No relevant benchmarking available	Red			57			64			25			52			
:	% of Looked After Children cases which were reviewed within required timescales	Monthly	96%	94%	No benchmarking available	95% (Q4 2022/23)	Green	94%	94%	96%	96%	98%	96%	97%	98%	97%	99%	98%	94%	85%	90%	97%
:	% of Looked After Children participating in their reviews in month (excludes children aged 0 - 4)	Monthly	Not a target measure	92%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure	100%	80%	93%	92%	91%	100%	100%	100%	74%	100%	77%	100%	90%	80%	100%
:	Stability of placements of Looked After Children - number of placements (3 or more in the year)	Quarterly	10%	6.8%	10% (DfE 2022/23)	10% (DfE 2022/23)	Green			7.5%			7.3%			5.8%			6.6%			4.7%

Page 45

_	
a	
ge	l
4	
C	ı

				Вє	enchmarking and tre	nd																
No	p. Performance Indicators	Frequency	Target 2022/23	Merton 2022/23 PROVISIONAL	England	London	BRAG rating	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Jul-22	Aug-22	Sep-22	Oct-22	Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23
1	Stability of placements of Looked After Children (aged under 16) - length of placement (in care 2.5 years, placement 2 years)	Quarterly	71%	70%	70% (DfE 2020/21)	71% (DfE 2020/21)	Red			66%			71%			71%			70%			63%
1	% of Looked After Children in foster placements who are placed with in-house foster carers	Quarterly	60%	59%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Green			57%			61%			60%			61%			61%
1	9 Number of in-house foster carers recruited	Quarterly	2 per quarter	6	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Green			1			2			1			2			4
2	Number of <u>Looked After Children</u> who were adopted (YTD)	Monthly	Not a target measure	3	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure	0	0	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	0	0	0
2	Number of <u>Looked After Children</u> for whom agency Special Guardianship Orders were granted (YTD)	Quarterly	Not a target measure	1	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure			0			0			1			1			2
Ch 2	ildrens Centres and Schools  % of total 0-5 year estimated Census 2011 population from areas of deprivation (IDACI 30%) whose families have accessed children's centre services (cumulative)	Annual	65%	47%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Initial RAG rating for new target to follow later in year			39%			46%			52%			47%			85%
2	% outcome of School Ofsted inspections good or outstanding (overall effectiveness)	Quarterly	95%	95%	87% (30/04/2022)	94% (30/04/2022)	Green	Ofsted das	shboard	95%			95%			95%			95%			95%
D 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3	Number of Primary* permanent exclusions (Number YTD Academic year)	Monthly	Not a target measure	0	4.9% (National exclusion statistics for AY 2019/20)	0.8% (National exclusion statistics for AY 2019/20)	Not a target measure	0	0	0	0	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50 2	Number of Secondary* permanent exclusions (Number YTD Academic year)	Monthly	Not a target measure	19	28.5% (National exclusion statistics for AY 2019/20)	14.6% (National exclusion statistics for AY 2019/20)	Not a target measure	5	6	7	7	n/a	1	4	9	11	12	16	19	19	21	22
2	Persistent absenteeism - All Schools (10% or more sessions missed)	Annual	21%	NEW indicator	22.3% (DfE AY Aut-Spr 2021/22)	18.8% (DfE AY Aut-Spr 2021/22)	Initial annual RAG rating at end of academic year															
2	% of Reception year surplus places*** (calculated October and January)	Reported Quarterly	5-10%	9.8%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Green			11.6%			11.6%			9.7%			9.8%			9.8%
2	% of Secondary school (Year 7) surplus places *** (calculated October and January)	Reported Quarterly	5-10%	2.3%	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Red			3.2%			3.2%			2.4%			2.3%			2.3%
	9 Youth service participation rate	Annual	Not a target measure	N/A	No relevant benchmarking available	No relevant benchmarking available	Not a target measure															
3	% of CYP (16 - 17 year olds) not in education, employment or training (NEET)	Monthly	1.2%	1.1%	2.6% (2021/22)	1.5% (2021/22)	Green	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%	1.1%	1.5%	1.2%	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.2%
3:	% of CYP (16 - 17 year olds) education, employment or training status 'not known'	Monthly	Not a target measure	2.1%	2.2% (2021/22)	1.9% (2021/22)	Not a target measure	1.2%	1.4%	1.2%	1.5%	1.5%	Not published	11.3%	2.6%	0.8%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	1.4%	1.3%
3	Number of First Time Entrants (FTEs) to the Youth Justice System aged 10-17 (cumulative)	Monthly	50	23	144 (rate per 100,000, 2022)	N/A	Green	0	2	4	5	8	10	11	12	17	18	18	23	1	1	1
3:	Rate of proven re-offending by young people in the youth justice system - quarterly / annual (annual is 20/21)	Quarterly	41.8%	33% (Provisional Annual)	31.2% (2021/2022 YJB pub)	41.8% (2020/2021 YJB pub)	Green			27.3% 46.8%			28.6% 46.8%			53.8% 46.8%			38.1% 46.8%			22.2%

				Ве	Benchmarking and trend																		
No	o. Performance Indicators	Frequency	Target 2022/23	Merton 2022/23 PROVISIONAL	England	London	BRAG rating	Apr-22	May-22	Jun-22	Jul-22	Aug-22	Sep-22	Oct-22	Nov-22	Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	
34	% of care leavers (aged 19-21) in suitable accommodation	Monthly	95%	97%	88% (DfE 2021/22)	86% (DfE 2021/22)	Amber													94%	95%	94%	
34	% of care leavers (aged 19-21) not in education, employment or training (NEET)	Monthly	32%	37%	38% (DfE 2021/22)	32% (DfE 2021/22)	Red													38%	36%	35%	
35	5 % agency social workers (HR data)	Quarterly**	22.7%	26%	17.6% DfE Census Sept 2021	22.7% (DfE Census Sept 2020)	Red			34%			36%			29%			26%			25%	
36	Average total caseload for social workers (working with looked after children and/or children subject of child protection plans) (total caseload including non LAC and CPP cases as at end of month)  Combines and replaces previous indicators 7 and 15	Monthly**	Not a target measure	14	14.36 (DfE Census Sept 2021 - Awaiting validation)	14.6 (DfE Census Sept 2020)	Not a target measure	14	15	14	13	14	12	13	11	10	11	13	14	15	15	15	
	Indicators 27 & 28 :* all pupils educated in Merton Sc														•								

Indicators 29, 30 & 31: \*\*\* all pupils educated in Merton Schools (excluding special Schools)

Indicators 35 & 36\* Quarterly and monthly data reported from live date reported by Human Resource or Mosaic respectively. There is no direct comparable benchmarkable data as the DfE uses a different definition of a 'social worker' for the purpose of who is included in the annual Children's Social Workforce Census.

This page is intentionally left blank